WILLIAM N. MEGGS
STATE ATTORNEY



LEON COUNTY COURTHOUSE
301 S. MONROE STREET
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-2550

Attachment #

TELEPHONE (850) 488-6701

OFFICE OF

STATE ATTORNEY

SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA

October 11, 2002

Honorable Dan Winchester, Chairman Leon County Board of County Commissioners Leon County Courthouse 301 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Dear Chairman Winchester:

We may have done county staff a disservice when we submitted our budget for fiscal year 2002-2003, in that we strictly heeded their call for no increase in our budget given the fiscal climate. However, we did not accurately reflect what is clear to us and to county staff as to what has happened in the way of expenditures in the past. In other words, we asked for a no change budget the last couple years knowing all the while that expenses in various categories were historically higher and would likely continue. We again find ourselves in a position where expenditures exceed budgeted amounts and county staff can't pay legitimate expenses because they are not budgeted, and rightly so.

Secondly, we have labored under a misunderstanding of how the budget works. We have been well served by repeatedly submitting no change budget requests knowing that certain expenses, such as witness fees and travel, had to be paid, regardless of budget amounts and, secondly, by limiting ourselves to the budgeted amounts in categories where we had some control over the expenditures, such as books and publications.

We find ourselves having to ask for a second adjustment to our budget for the fiscal year just completed. At the same time we think it wise to ask for corresponding increases for fiscal year 2002-2003 now, rather than later.

We must ask for an increase to more closely reconcile actual expenditures with budgeted amounts. We have prepared a simple comparison of actual expenditures versus budgeted amounts for the past three years. One of the many things this comparison shows is there are several areas where expenditures were significantly greater than budgeted. Moreover, the comparison

Attachment # 5
Page 2 of 3

Honorable Dan Winchester October 11, 2002 Page 2

shows the wide range within which some of these categories can fluctuate. Thus, it is not easy to forecast expenditures for these categories. Our posture with respect to prosecutions remains the same as it has been for some time. We will aggressively pursue prosecution of criminal acts where appropriate. Thus, we expect our budget needs to remain at no less than current levels.

We are asking for an increase of \$20,850 in the 2001-2002 budget so that outstanding obligations can be paid and the budget balanced. At the same time we ask that you consider amending our budget request for 2002-2003 to a total of \$236,000. The allocations can be found in the last column of the attached spreadsheet. These amounts and allocations more closely reflect actual historical expenditures as well as anticipated expenditures.

We are committed to be judicious and prudent with the use of tax dollars while seeking to make our community safe through the prosecution of criminal acts. We thank you and the Commission for their continued support of these efforts. If you or your staff has any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM N. MEGGS

State Attorney

cc: Parwez Alam, County Administrator

Alan Rosenweig, Budget Director

Attachment

Office of the State Attorney **Second Judicial Circuit**

Comparison of Actual Expenditures v. Budget **Leon County**

53100	Other Admin./Prof.
53201	Accounting Services
53300	Court Reporter Services
53304	Transcript-Deposition
54000	Travel & Per Diem
54100	Communications
54101	Freight
54200	Postage
54600	Repair & Maintenance
54900	Other Current Charges
54910	Exp.Witness Fees/Travel
54915	Information Services
54920	Ord. Witness Fees/Travel
54940	Appeal Fees
54950	Other Current Charges
55200	Operating Supplies
55210	Fuel & Oil
55400	Books & Publications
	Total Operating Expenses

Γ	Fiscal Year 99/00				Fiscal Year 00/01				Fiscal Year 01/02					Fiscal Year 2002-2003	
	Actual Budget			Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget *		Amended			
\$	97	\$	5,200	\$	18,390	\$	16,689	\$	9,950	\$	15,192	١	\$	10,000	
\$	10,169	\$	10,305	\$	12,855	\$	9,305	\$	13,423	\$	11,500		\$	13,500	
\$	10,413	\$	12,805	\$	9,292	\$	10,000	\$	1,148	\$	10,000		\$	5,000	
\$	6,437	\$	40,000	\$	34,600	\$	40,000	\$	37,330	\$	35,000		\$	38,000	
\$	22,742	\$	12,000	\$	24,570	\$	12,000	\$	25,440	\$	12,000		\$	26,000	
\$	10,280	\$	7,976	\$	9,476	\$	7,900	\$	7,118	\$	8,500		\$	8,500	
\$	4,184	\$	-	\$	3,995	\$	2,500	\$	4.932	\$	5,000		\$	5,000	
\$	22,574	\$	13,304	\$	17,537	\$	12,000	\$	35,331	\$	27,715		\$	30,000	
\$	41,075	\$	24,304	\$	67,878	\$	34,000	\$	66,418	\$	55,822		\$	67,000	
\$	4,435	\$	2,000	\$	1,684	\$	2,000	\$	4,943	\$	10,463		\$	5,000	
		\$	3,500												
				\$	13,898	\$	10,198	\$	12,026	\$	10,000		\$	13,000	
\$	7,412	\$	10,198			\$	-								
\$	6,052	\$	13,600	\$	13,237	\$	13,600	\$	17,955	\$	14,000		\$	15,000	
\$	145,870	\$	155,192	\$	227,412	\$	170,192	\$	236,013	\$	215,192		\$	236,000	

^{* -} The budget amount reflects a recent adjustment (increase) of \$45,000 but does not include the requested \$20,850.